

My name is David Manelski. I'm a concerned citizen. \_\_\_\_\_. I just want to echo some points of people that have spoken already. I strongly support Ecology for trying to make an effort to come out with water quality standards for stormwater. I think it's a major source of pollution. It's caused, according to Ecology's studies, 33% of waterways are impaired largely in part because of stormwater pollution. So I think it's something that needs to be addressed. I don't want to take up too much time. I just briefly want to reiterate some of the points that have been made already. I support the new monitoring requirements that will characterize the pollutants. That will actually go a long way in limiting the discharge of these pollutants into Puget Sound. During the next permit cycle, there will be a lot of new data to look through. As a consumer I'm willing to support additional costs of monitoring, reporting stormwater discharges that might be passed along to industries. I'm a strong supporter of the Clean Water Act. It calls for all waterbodies to become fishable and swimmable. How is this really possible with 33% of local waterbodies impaired? There's clear language in the Clean Water Act in regards to mixing zones, monitoring and compliance standards and I'd like to see that reflected in the industrial stormwater general permit. Thank you.